

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

IN RE:	§	CASE NO. 22-90341
	§	
Core Scientific, Inc.,	§	CHAPTER 11
Core Scientific Acquired Mining LLC,	§	
Core Scientific Operating Company,	§	
Radar Relay, Inc.	§	
Core Scientific Specialty Mining (Oklahoma LLC)	§	
American Property Acquisition, LLC	§	
Starboard Capital LLC	§	
Radar Inc.	§	
American Property Acquisition I, LLC	§	
American Property Acquisition VII, LLC	§	
Core Scientific Mining LLC	§	
	§	
Debtors.	§	

DEFAULT ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
(This Order Resolves Docket # __)

Toyota Industries Commercial Finance, Inc. (“Movant”) filed a Motion for Relief from the automatic stay against property described as follows:

- 1: two (2) 2020 JLG Scissor lifts, model #s 3246ES-M200031663 and M200031705;
- 2: one (1) 2020 Toyota forklift model #8FBMK25T-10079;
- 3: two (2) 2019 JLG Scissor lifts, model #s 3246ES-M200031625 and M200031369;
- 4: two (2) 2019 JLG Scissor lifts, model #s 1930ES-M200027308 and M200027416;
- 5: two (2) 2020 Toyota forklifts, model #s 8FBMK25T-10078 and 10080;
- 6: two (2) 2019 JLG Scissor lifts, model #s 3246ES-M200033921 and M200033922;
- 7: two (2) 2020 JLG Scissor lifts, model #s 3246ES-M200031706 and M200031707;
- 8: one (1) 2019 Toyota forklift, model #7FBCU15-70550;
- 9: one (1) 2020 Toyota forklift, model #8FBMK25T-10081;
- 10: one (1) 2021 Aichi Scissor lift, model #SV1930E-796237;
- 11: two (2) 2021 Aichi Scissor lifts, model #s SV1932E-801917 and 801927;
- 12: four (4) 2021 Aichi Scissor lifts, model #s SV1932E-808762, 808761, 808764 and 808763;
- and
- 13: two (2) 2021 Aichi Scissor lifts, model #s SV1932E-808495 and 808496.

1. . Movant represented to the Court that it had served the motion in accordance with all applicable rules and provided notice of the hearing.

_____ Although a response opposing the motion was filed, the respondent did not appear at the hearing. Therefore, the response is overruled for want of prosecution and the motion is granted.

_____ The debtor filed a response that the debtor was not opposed to the requested relief and no other party opposed the requested relief.

_____ The debtor filed a response that the debtor was unable to admit or deny the allegations, the debtor failed to appear at the hearing, and no other party opposed the requested relief.

_____ After hearing, and for the reasons stated on the record, relief from the stay is granted.

_____ No timely response was filed. Accordingly, the motion is granted by default.

_____ As shown by Debtors' counsel signature below, Debtors have agreed to the requested relief.

Accordingly, it is ordered that Movant is granted relief from the automatic stay [and the co-debtor stay] to pursue its state law remedies against the Property, including foreclosure, repossession and/or eviction.

Additional rulings:

_____ Movant is awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$_____.

_____ The stay imposed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3) does not apply for the reasons stated on the record.

SIGNED the ____ day of _____, 2023.

Honorable David R. Jones,
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

Approved by Movant's Counsel:

/s/ Shawn K. Brady
Movant's counsel signature
Name: Shawn K. Brady
State Bar No.: 00787126
S.D. Tex. Bar No.: 17843
BRADY LAW FIRM, PLLC
6136 Frisco Square Blvd., Suite 400
Frisco, Texas 75034
Telephone: (469) 287-5484
E-mail: sbrady@brady-law-firm.com
Attorney for Toyota Industries Commercial
Finance, Inc.